


100100 DANDOLPH



COMMERCIAL INK STATEMENT

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS



FORWARDING ORDER
EXPIRED

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY



UNITED STATES POSTAGE PENALTY FOR
PRIVATE USE \$3.00
02 1A \$02.44
0004204479 JUL 25 2005
MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314



FORWARDING ORDER
EXPIRED



BEST AVAILABLE COPY



BEST AVAILABLE COPY

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2176
JFW
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,095	07/26/2001	Garry Chinn	M-9333 US	8448
7590	07/25/2005		EXAMINER	
F. Jason Far-Hadian SKJERVEN MORRILL MacPHERSON LLP 25 Metro Drive, Suite 700 San Jose, CA 95110-1349				TRAN, QUOC A
				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
				2176

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
OIPC/IAP

AUG 04 2005

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,095	CHINN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quoc A. Tran	2176	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2001.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-15, 18-20 and 44-63 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-12, 16, 17 and 21-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some *
 - c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/19/2001</u> .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communication: amendment filed 05/09/2005 with recognition of an original filing date of 07/26/2001.
2. Applicant's election with traverse, Group I (Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43). The traversal is on the grounds that it should be no undue burden on the Examiner to consider all claims in the single application. In addition Applicant argues that the Examiner has failed to shown claims are independent and distinct.

This is not found persuasive:

The burden is due to the separate searches required because of the different classification indicated in the groupings.

Second the combinations disclosed as usable together in a subcombination or element of combination.

Invention (I) has separate utility such as hierarchical control. Independent claim in group I are: 1, 21 and 39.

Invention (II) has separately utility such as a structured document. Independent claim in group II is: 13.

Invention (III) has separate utility such as fitting data into field on form. Independent claim in group III are: 44, 60 and 61.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43 set forth non-functional descriptive material but fail to set forth physical structures or materials comprising of hardware or a combination of hardware and software within the technological arts (i.e. a computer) to produce a "useful, concrete and tangible" result.

For example, Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43 the "method" reads on a mental construct/abstract idea or at best a computer program, per se. The language such as "A method comprising...and A method comprising of navigating a navigation tree... do not clearly define structural elements and are not tangibly embodied on a computer readable medium. Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43 are interpreted as software per se, abstract ideas or mental construct and not tangibly embodied on a computer readable medium or hardware.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claims 1-12, 16-17 and 21-43** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferrel et al US005878421A - filed 07/17/1995 (hereinafter Ferrel), in view of Saldanha et al. US006714939B2 - filed 01/08/2001 (hereinafter Saldanha).

In regard to independent claim 1, providing a navigation tree comprising a semantic, hierarchical structure, (Ferrel at col. 17, lines 30-45 and Fig. 7 of 17, discloses an information map, wherein the left panel of the display window shows a hierarchy of containers (i.e. only containers which are associated to the contents of the containers in the right panel) of one project for a publisher and allows user to navigate though it), **having one or more paths associated with content** (Ferrel at col. 17, lines 30-45 and Fig. 7 of 17, discloses an information map, wherein the left panel of the display window shows a hierarchy of containers (i.e. only containers which are associated to the contents of the containers in the right panel), wherein an information map from containers which are associated to the contents of the containers, which is suggested in the broadest reasonable interpretation as claimed (i.e. one or more path associated with content), **of a conventional markup language document** (Ferrel at col. 23, lines 20-45, discloses an information map, wherein the text style for the section name is set from the style sheet associated with sections 1-9 based on the current level at state 586. It is appreciated by a person of ordinary skill in the art that style sheet is suggested reasonably equivalent to a text file containing code to apply semantic such as page layout specification to an HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language) as claimed (i.e. of a conventional markup language document)), **receiving a request to access the content**

(as taught by Ferrel at col. 23, lines 40-45), and responsive to the request (Ferrel at col. 23, lines 25-30), traversing a path in the navigation tree, if the request includes at least one keyword of the vocabulary (Ferrel at col. 21, lines 5-25, discloses the viewer traverses the link and finds the first page associated with that section and displays it, and also see Ferrel at col. 23, lines 20-45, discloses the text style for the section name is set from the style sheet associated with sections 1-9 based on the current level at state 586. It is appreciated by a person of ordinary skill in the art that style sheet is suggested reasonably equivalent to a text file containing code to apply semantic such as page layout specification to an HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language), which is reasonably equivalent to least one keyword of the vocabulary as claimed).

Ferrel does not explicitly teach grammar... however (Saldanha taught at col. 7, line 20 through col. 8, line 10, discloses the architecture of the content engine in FIG. 2, the content engine comprises: a parser, a mapper, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user produced by the parser, the DML generator reduces the structure produced by the mapper to a simpler form. The generation of the DML (Domain Markup Language) is directed, the application takes the DML input and uses it as a query on an underlying database, to retrieve entries (e.g., products) that satisfy the query, and hence match the user's interests (to the extent that such interest is well expressed in the original text input), which is suggested in the broadest reasonable interpretation as claimed, wherein a parser, a mapper, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator and text input query, which is suggested reasonably equivalent to

paths associated with content of a conventional markup language document including one or more keywords).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ferrel, discloses an information map, to include a means of a parsing, mapping, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to enable the mapping of grammatical parse of a sentence and the ability to attach a natural markup language interface with minimal developer effort (as taught by Saldanha at col. 7, line 25 through col. 8, line 15).

In regard to independent claim 21, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 1 above, and further view of the following and is similarly rejected along the same rationale, visiting a first node in the navigation tree; moving from the first node to a second node in the navigation tree in response to the user request, the second node (Ferrel at col. 2, lines 60-67, discloses an information map, wherein a navigable outline for a title structure comprising a plurality of related nodes, the method comprising the steps of (a) accessing the title structure; (b) finding a node in the title structure; (c) creating a navigation link for the node; and (d) recursively descending the title structure for steps (b) and (c)), Ferrel does not explicitly teaches, and expanding the grammar by adding to the vocabulary the keyword of the second node, however (Saldanha taught at col. 12, line 50 through col. 13, line 15 discloses the architecture of "grammar" shows in Fig. 3A –3C, wherein the DAG

(Directed Acrylic Graph) expanding from 9 nodes to 14 nodes, for example, in the sentence "The boy helped the girl with the suitcase," the modifier "with the suitcase" can either apply to the girl, or to the act of helping. In general, a modifier can modify any part of the sentence. The above teaching read in the broadest reasonable interpretation as claimed, wherein DAG's node expanding from 9 to 14 by modify the nodes such as, adding "with the suitcase" to "The boy helped the girl with the suitcase," which is suggested reasonably equivalent to expanding the grammar by adding to the vocabulary the keyword of the second node as claimed).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ferrel, discloses an information map, to include a means of a parsing, mapping, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user of Saldanha. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to enable the mapping of grammatical parse of a sentence and the ability to attach a natural markup language interface with minimal developer effort (as taught by Saldanha at col. 7, line 25 through col. 8, line 15).

In regard to independent claim 39, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 21 above, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 2, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 21 above, and in further view of the following and is similarly rejected along the same rationale, **wherein the vocabulary dynamically changes** (Ferrel

Art Unit: 2176

at col. 23, lines 20-60, discloses an information map, wherein the set content values is located at state 596 and the text style is located in the story's associated style sheet based upon the values at state 598. Next, the function 568 creates a link and registers it with the viewer at state 600. It is appreciated by a person of ordinary skill in the art that style sheet is suggested reasonably equivalent to a text file containing code to apply semantic such as page layout specification to an HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language), which is suggested reasonably equivalent to least one keyword of the vocabulary as claimed and associated style sheet based upon the values at different state is suggested reasonably equivalent to dynamically changes as claimed).

In regard to dependent claims 3, 5, 6-8, 22 and 24 incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claim 1 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 9, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 21 above, and in further view of the following and is similarly rejected along the same rationale, **narrowing the vocabulary of the grammar if the request does not include at least one keyword of the vocabulary** (Ferrel at col. 23, lines 20-60, (Ferrel at col. 23, lines 20-60, discloses an information map, wherein the set content values is located at state 596 and the text style is located in the story's associated style sheet based upon the values at state 598. Next, the function 568 creates a link and registers it with the viewer at state 600. It is appreciated by a person of ordinary skill in the art that style sheet is suggested reasonably equivalent to a text file containing code to apply semantic such as page layout specification to an HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language), which is suggested reasonably equivalent to least one keyword of the

Art Unit: 2176

vocabulary as claimed and associated style sheet based upon the values at different state is suggested reasonably equivalent to narrowing changes as claimed, also see the Specification page 6, lines 21-30, discloses dynamically build the navigation grammar based on keywords or other vocabulary included to streamline and narrow the vocabulary included in the grammar to those keywords and commands that are relevant to the tree branch being traversed at the time).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ferrel, discloses an information map, to include a means of a parsing, mapping, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user of Saldanha. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to enable the mapping of grammatical parse of a sentence and the ability to attach a natural markup language interface with minimal developer effort (as taught by Saldanha at col. 7, line 25 through col. 8, line 15).

In regard to dependent claim 10, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 2 above, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 11, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 21 above, and is similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claim 12, wherein the conventional markup language is Hyper Text Markup Language (Ferrel at col. 23, lines 20-45, discloses an information map, wherein the text style for the section name is set from the style sheet associated with sections 1-9 based on the current level at state 586. It is appreciated by a

person of ordinary skill in the art that style sheet is suggested reasonably equivalent to a text file containing code to apply semantic such as page layout specification to an HTML (Hyper Text Markup Language).

In regard to dependent claim 16, accepting the request if the first confidence score is greater than a recognition threshold (Ferrel at col. 23, line 60 through col. 24, line 5, discloses an information map, wherein the text style for the section name is set from the style sheet associated with the current level at state based upon the decision state and recursive call of the level is or is not at the maximum section depth, which is read in the broadest reasonable interpretation as claimed, wherein decision state is suggested reasonably equivalent to accepting the request if , recursive call of the level is or is not at the maximum section depth is suggested reasonably equivalent to score is greater than a recognition threshold as claimed).

In regard to dependent claims 17 and 25-26, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 16 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 27-28, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1, 16 and 21 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 29-38, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1 and 21 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

In regard to dependent claims 40-43, incorporate substantially similar subject matter as cited in claims 1, 7 and 21 above, and are similarly rejected along the same rationale.

7. **Claims 4 and 23** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferrel et al US005878421A - filed 07/17/1995 (hereinafter Ferrel), in view of Saldanha et al. US006714939B2 - filed 01/08/2001 (hereinafter Saldanha), further in view of MacKenty et al US006088675A – filed 03/23/1999 (hereinafter MacKenty),

In regard to dependent claim 4, Ferrel and Saldanha do not explicitly teach, wherein the request is in the form of speech, however (MacKenty at col. 17, lines 30-45 and Fig. 7 of 17, discloses an SGML document, which can be in the form of keyboard input, voice commands, or any other kind of input. In the preferred embodiment, the input is from a numeric keypad, such as that on a standard personal computer keyboard. The input selects one of several typical navigation functions).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ferrel, discloses an information map, to include a means of a parsing, mapping, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user of Saldanha, further to include a means of for controlling, navigating the SGML document using from key board or voice command of MacKenty. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to provide the auditory presentation of documents, and, more particularly to communicating by sound the contents of

documents coded in SGML for visually impaired individuals (as taught by MacKenty at col. 1, lines 9- 50).

In regard to dependent claim 23, Ferrel and Saldanha do not explicitly teach, **providing an error message, if the user request is not recognized,** however (MacKenty at col. 7, lines 25-30, discloses an errors message generators (i.e. the text of an error message is sent to the speech synthesizer for presentation to the user, and the Boolean value returned by the function indicates that the reader should not be started)).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Ferrel, discloses an information map, to include a means of a parsing, mapping, and a Domain Markup Language ("DML") generator, wherein the parser parses the text input by the user into all possible parses, based on the grammar stored in the grammar storage, the mapper accesses all the parses of the text input by the user of Saldanha, further to include a means of for controlling, navigating the SGML document using from key board or voice command of MacKenty. One of the ordinary skills in the art would have been motivated to provide the auditory presentation of documents, and, more particularly to communicating by sound the contents of documents coded in SGML for visually impaired individuals (as taught by MacKenty at col. 1, lines 9- 50), and to indicates that the reader should not be started the application since the tag of the design location is not found (as taught by MacKenty at col. 7, lines 25-30).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

MobilHTML/XML/CSS/HTTP-

www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI/mobileHTMLArch.ppt - 07/09/1998

Uppaluru US005915001A filed 11/14/1996

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quoc A. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4103. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11AM to 7PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Herndon R. Heather can be reached on (571) -272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quoc A, Tran
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2176

July 16, 2005

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
7/21/2005

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office				Atty Docket No.	Serial No.			
				M-9333 US	09/916,095			
OCT 19 2001 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>(Use several sheets if necessary)</i>				Applicants:				
				Chinn, et al.				
				Filing Date	Group			
				July 26, 2001	2171 2176			
U.S. Patent Documents								
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate	
	AA	4,053,710	10/11/77	Advani et al.	179	1 SB		
	AB	4,253,157	02/24/81	Kirschner et al.	364	900		
	AC	4,534,056	08/06/85	Feilchenfeld et al.	381	42		
	AD	4,648,061	03/03/87	Foster	264	900		
	AE	4,653,097	03/24/87	Watanabe et al.	381	42		
	AF	4,659,877	04/21/87	Dorsey et al.	379	88		
	AG	4,763,278	08/09/88	Rajasekaran et al.	364	513.5		
	AH	4,785,408	11/15/88	Britton et al.	364	513.5		
	AI	4,788,643	11/29/88	Trippe et al.	364	407		
	AJ	4,831,551	05/16/89	Schalk et al.	364	513.5		
	AK	4,833,713	05/23/89	Muroi et al.	381	43		
	AL	4,839,853	06/13/89	Deerwester et al.	364	900		
	AM	4,896,319	01/23/90	Lidinsky et al.	370	60		
	AN	4,922,538	05/01/90	Tchorzewski	381	42		
	AO	4,945,476	07/31/90	Bodick et al.	364	413.02		
	AP	4,953,085	08/28/90	Atkins	364	408		
	AQ	4,972,349	11/20/90	Kleinberger	364	900		
	AR	4,989,248	01/29/91	Schalk et al.	381	42		
	AS	5,007,081	04/09/91	Schmuckal et al.	379	354		
Foreign Patent Documents								
							Translation	
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)								
Examiner	<i>Sevaller</i>	Date Considered <i>11/22/2001</i>						
*EXAMINER: Initial if referencee considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.								

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office		Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
		M-9333 US	09/916,095
O I P E INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)		Applicants: Chinn et al.	
		Filing Date	Group
		July 26, 2001	2171

U.S. Patent Documents							
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
	AT	5,020,107	05/28/91	Rohani et al.	381	43	
	AU	5,054,082	10/01/91	Smith et al.	381	42	
	AV	5,062,074	10/29/91	Kleinberger	364	900	
	AW	5,127,043	06/30/92	Hunt et al.	379	88	
	AX	5,144,672	09/01/92	Kuriiki	381	41	
	AY	5,146,439	09/08/92	Jachmann et al.	369	25	
	AZ	5,224,163	06/29/93	Gasser et al.	380	30	
	BA	5,243,643	09/07/93	Sattar et al.	379	88	
	BB	5,247,497	09/21/93	Cohn	369	26	
	BC	5,247,575	09/21/93	Sprague et al.	380	9	
	BD	5,255,305	10/19/93	Sattar	379	34	
	BE	5,274,695	12/28/93	Green	379	88	
	BF	5,278,942	01/11/94	Bahl et al.	395	2	
	BG	5,293,452	03/08/94	Picone et al.	395	2.59	
	BH	5,297,183	03/22/94	Bareis et al.	379	59	
	BI	5,297,194	03/22/94	Hunt et al.	379	88	
	BJ	5,325,421	06/28/94	Hou et al.	379	67	
	BK	5,335,276	08/02/94	Thompson et al.	380	21	
	BL	5,335,313	08/02/94	Douglas	395	2.84	
	BM	5,343,529	08/30/94	Goldfine et al.	380	23	
	BN	5,355,433	10/11/94	Yasuda et al.	395	2.52	
	BO	5,359,508	10/25/94	Rossides	364	401	

Foreign Patent Documents

							Translation	
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
Examiner			Date Considered	11-32-2004				

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office		Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
		M-9333 US	09/916,095
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)		Applicants	
		Chinn et al.	
		Filing Date	Group
		July 26, 2001	2171

U.S. Patent Documents							
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
	BP	5,365,574	11/15/94	Hunt et al.	379	88	
	BQ	5,388,213	02/07/95	Oppenheimer et al.	395	200	
	BR	5,390,278	02/14/95	Gupta et al.	395	2.52	
	BS	5,410,698	04/25/95	Danneels et al.	395	650	
	BT	5,430,827	07/04/95	Rissanen	395	2.82	
	BU	5,448,625	09/05/95	Lederman	379	67	
	BV	5,452,340	09/19/95	Engelbeck et al.	379	67	
	BW	5,452,341	09/19/95	Sattar	379	88	
	BX	5,452,397	09/19/95	Ittycheriah et al.	395	2.49	
	BY	5,454,030	09/26/95	de Oliveira et al.	379	100	
	BZ	5,463,715	10/31/95	Gagnon	395	2.76	
	CA	5,465,290	11/07/95	Hampton et al.	379	67	
	CB	5,479,491	12/26/95	Herrero Garcia et al.	379	88	
	CC	5,479,510	12/26/95	Olsen et al.	380	24	
	CD	5,483,580	01/09/96	Brandman et al.	379	88	
	CE	5,485,370	01/16/96	Moss et al.	364	408	
	CF	5,486,686	01/23/96	Zdybel, Jr. et al.	235	375	
	CG	5,487,671	01/30/96	Shapiro et al.	434	185	
	CH	5,490,251	02/06/96	Clark et al.	395	200.2	
	CI	5,499,288	03/12/96	Hunt et al.	379	88	
	CI	5,510,777	04/23/96	Pilc et al.	340	825.310	

RECEIVED
DEC 21 2001
Technology Center 2100

Foreign Patent Documents						Translation		
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)	
Examiner <i>Flucky</i>	Date Considered <i>11-22-2001</i>
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.	

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office				Atty Docket No.	Serial-No.			
				M-9333 US	09/916,095			
O I P E N F O R M A T I O N D I S C L O S U R E S T A T E M E N T B Y A P P L I C A N T (Use several sheets if necessary)				Applicants				
				Chinn et al.				
				Filing Date	Group			
				July 26, 2001	2171			
U.S. Patent Documents								
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate	
	CK	5,513,272	04/30/96	Bogosian, Jr.	382	116		
	CL	5,517,605	05/14/96	Wolf	395	155		
	CM	5,526,620	06/18/96	Hallsten	52	246		
	CN	5,530,852	06/25/96	Meske, Jr. et al.	395	600		
	CO	5,533,115	07/02/96	Hollenbach et al.	379	220		
	CP	5,534,855	07/09/96	Shockley et al.	340	825.300		
	CQ	5,537,586	07/16/96	Amram et al.	395	600		
	CR	5,542,046	07/30/96	Carlson et al.	395	186		
	CS	5,544,255	08/06/96	Smithies et al.	382	119		
	CT	5,544,322	08/06/96	Cheng et al.	395	200.12		
	CU	5,548,726	08/20/96	Pettus	395	200.09		
	CV	5,550,976	08/27/96	Henderson et al.	395	200.06		
	CW	5,551,021	08/27/96	Harada et al.	395	600		
	CX	5,608,786	03/04/97	Gordon	379	100		
	CY	5,613,012	03/18/97	Hoffman et al.	382	115		
	CZ	5,799,063	08/25/98	Krane	379	67		
	DA	5,5,875,242	02/23/99	Glaser et al.	379	207		
	DB	5,899,975	05/4/99	Nielsen	704	260		
	DC	5,915,001	06/22/99	Uppaluru	379	88.22		
	DD	5,926,180	07/20/99	Shimamura	345	357		
	DE	5,953,392	09/14/99	Rhie et al.	379	88.13		
Foreign Patent Documents								
							Translation	
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)								
Examiner	Date Considered 11-22-2001							
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.								

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office				Atty Docket No.	Serial No.		
				M-9333 US	09/916,095		
OPI INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)				Applicants:			
				Chinn, et al.			
				Filing Date	Group		
				July 26, 2001	2171		
U.S. Patent Documents							
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
	AA	4,053,710	10/11/77	Advani et al.	179	1 SB	
	AB	4,253,157	02/24/81	Kirschner et al.	364	900	
	AC	4,534,056	08/06/85	Feilchenfeld et al.	381	42	
	AD	4,648,061	03/03/87	Foster	264	900	
	AE	4,653,097	03/24/87	Watanabe et al.	381	42	
	AF	4,659,877	04/21/87	Dorsey et al.	379	88	
	AG	4,763,278	08/09/88	Rajasekaran et al.	364	513.5	
	AH	4,785,408	11/15/88	Britton et al.	364	513.5	
	AI	4,788,643	11/29/88	Trippe et al.	364	407	
	AJ	4,831,551	05/16/89	Schalk et al.	364	513.5	
	AK	4,833,713	05/23/89	Muroi et al.	381	43	
	AL	4,839,853	06/13/89	Deerwester et al.	364	900	
	AM	4,896,319	01/23/90	Lidinsky et al.	370	60	
	AN	4,922,538	05/01/90	Tchorzewski	381	42	
	AO	4,945,476	07/31/90	Bodick et al.	364	413.02	
	AP	4,953,085	08/28/90	Atkins	364	408	
	AQ	4,972,349	11/20/90	Kleinberger	364	900	
	AR	4,989,248	01/29/91	Schalk et al.	381	42	
	AS	5,007,081	04/09/91	Schmuckal et al.	379	354	
Foreign Patent Documents						Translation	
	Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)							
Examiner	<i>Anschutz</i>	Date Considered	<i>07/12/2001</i>				
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.							

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office				Atty Docket No.	Serial No.			
				M-9333 US	09/916,095			
O I P E INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)				Applicants: Chinn et al.				
				Filing Date	Group			
				July 26, 2001	2171			
U.S. Patent Documents								
*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate	
	AT	5,020,107	05/28/91	Rohani et al.	381	43		
	AU	5,054,082	10/01/91	Smith et al.	381	42		
	AV	5,062,074	10/29/91	Kleinberger	364	900		
	AW	5,127,043	06/30/92	Hunt et al.	379	88		
	AX	5,144,672	09/01/92	Kuriki	381	41		
	AY	5,146,439	09/08/92	Jachmann et al.	369	25		
	AZ	5,224,163	06/29/93	Gasser et al.	380	30		
	BA	5,243,643	09/07/93	Sattar et al.	379	88		
	BB	5,247,497	09/21/93	Cohn	369	26		
	BC	5,247,575	09/21/93	Sprague et al.	380	9		
	BD	5,255,305	10/19/93	Sattar	379	34		
	BE	5,274,695	12/28/93	Green	379	88		
	BF	5,278,942	01/11/94	Bahl et al.	395	2		
	BG	5,293,452	03/08/94	Picone et al.	395	2.59		
	BH	5,297,183	03/22/94	Bareis et al.	379	59		
	BI	5,297,194	03/22/94	Hunt et al.	379	88		
	BJ	5,325,421	06/28/94	Hou et al.	379	67		
	BK	5,335,276	08/02/94	Thompson et al.	380	21		
	BL	5,335,313	08/02/94	Douglas	395	2.84		
	BM	5,343,529	08/30/94	Goldfine et al.	380	23		
	BN	5,355,433	10/11/94	Yasuda et al.	395	2.52		
	BO	5,359,508	10/25/94	Rossides	364	401		
Foreign Patent Documents							Translation	
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
Examiner	<i>Arnold</i>	Date Considered	<i>07/12/2001</i>					
*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.								

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office				Atty Docket No.	Serial No.		
				M-9333 US	09/916,095		
MPEP INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT <i>09/19/2005</i>				Applicants			
(Use several sheets if necessary)				Chinn et al.			
				Filing Date	Group		
				July 26, 2001	2171		
U.S. Patent Documents							
*Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate	
BP	5,365,574	11/15/94	Hunt et al.	379	88	<i>RECEIVED DEO 21 2001</i>	
BQ	5,388,213	02/07/95	Oppenheimer et al.	395	200		
BR	5,390,278	02/14/95	Gupta et al.	395	2.52		
BS	5,410,698	04/25/95	Danneels et al.	395	650		
BT	5,430,827	07/04/95	Rissanen	395	2.82		
BU	5,448,625	09/05/95	Lederman	379	67		
BV	5,452,340	09/19/95	Engelbeck et al.	379	67		
BW	5,452,341	09/19/95	Sattar	379	88		
BX	5,452,397	09/19/95	Ittycheriah et al.	395	2.49		
BY	5,454,030	09/26/95	de Oliveira et al.	379	100		
BZ	5,463,715	10/31/95	Gagnon	395	2.76		
CA	5,465,290	11/07/95	Hampton et al.	379	67		
CB	5,479,491	12/26/95	Herrero Garcia et al.	379	88		
CC	5,479,510	12/26/95	Olsen et al.	380	24		
CD	5,483,580	01/09/96	Brandman et al.	379	88		
CE	5,485,370	01/16/96	Moss et al.	364	408		
CF	5,486,686	01/23/96	Zdybel, Jr. et al.	235	375		
CG	5,487,671	01/30/96	Shapiro et al.	434	185		
CH	5,490,251	02/06/96	Clark et al.	395	200.2		
CI	5,499,288	03/12/96	Hunt et al.	379	88		
CI	5,510,777	04/23/96	Pilc et al.	340	825.310		
Foreign Patent Documents							
						Translation	
	Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No
<i>07/12/2005</i>							
OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)							
Examiner <i>Amoth</i>	Date Considered <i>07/12/2005</i>						
*EXAMINER Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.							

U.S. Department of Commerce, Patent and Trademark Office		Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
		M-9333 US	09/916,095
O I P INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Use several sheets if necessary)		Applicants	
		Chinn et al.	
		Filing Date	Group
		July 26, 2001	2171

U.S. Patent Documents

*Examiner Initial		Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date If Appropriate
	CK	5,513,272	04/30/96	Bogosian, Jr.	382	116	
	CL	5,517,605	05/14/96	Wolf	395	155	
	CM	5,526,620	06/18/96	Hallsten	52	246	
	CN	5,530,852	06/25/96	Meske, Jr. et al.	395	600	
	CO	5,533,115	07/02/96	Hollenbach et al.	379	220	
	CP	5,534,855	07/09/96	Shockley et al.	340	825.300	
	CQ	5,537,586	07/16/96	Amram et al.	395	600	
	CR	5,542,046	07/30/96	Carlson et al.	395	186	
	CS	5,544,255	08/06/96	Smithies et al.	382	119	
	CT	5,544,322	08/06/96	Cheng et al.	395	200.12	
	CU	5,548,726	08/20/96	Pettus	395	200.09	
	CV	5,550,976	08/27/96	Henderson et al.	395	200.06	
	CW	5,551,021	08/27/96	Harada et al.	395	600	
	CX	5,608,786	03/04/97	Gordon	379	100	
	CY	5,613,012	03/18/97	Hoffman et al.	382	115	
	CZ	5,799,063	08/25/98	Krane	379	67	
	DA	5,5,875,242	02/23/99	Glaser et al.	379	207	
	DB	5,899,975	05/4/99	Nielsen	704	260	
	DC	5,915,001	06/22/99	Uppaluru	379	88.22	
	DD	5,926,180	07/20/99	Shimamura	345	357	
	DE	5,953,392	09/14/99	Rhie et al.	379	88.13	

Foreign Patent Documents

							Translation	
		Document	Date	Country	Class	Subclass	Yes	No

OTHER ART (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

Examiner WOLK Date Considered 07/12/2001

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with your communication to applicant.

Notice of References Cited		Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination 09/916,095 CHINN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	Page 1 of 1 Quoc A. Tran 2176

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	A	US-5,878,421 A	03-1999	Ferrel et al.	707/100
	B	US-6,714,939 B2	03-2004	Saldanha et al.	707/102
	C	US-6,088,675 A	07-2000	MacKenty et al.	704/270
	D	US-5,915,001 A	06-1999	Uppaluru, Premkumar V.	379/88.22
	E	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	H	US-			
	I	US-			
	J	US-			
	K	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	O					
	P					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	T					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	MobilHTML/XML/CSS/HTTP-www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI/mobileHTMLArch.ppt - 07/09/1998
	V	
	W	
	X	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)
Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.



Web Images MP3/Audio Video News

Family Filter: off Help

mobileHTMLArch.ppt domain:w3.org

[Advanced Search](#)
[Settings](#)SEARCH: Worldwide USA RESULTS IN: All languages English, Spanish

AltaVista found 2 results

www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI/mobileHTMLArch.ppt

Functional Requirements for Mobile WWW Access. A Proposal for Mobile
HTML/XML Architecture. Available as Microsoft PowerPoint format
[mobileHTMLArch.ppt](http://www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI/mobileHTMLArch.ppt).
www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI

Result Pages: 1

[Back To Top](#)

mobileHTMLArch.ppt domain:w3.org

[Try your Search on Yahoo!](#)[Business Services](#) [Submit a Site](#) [About AltaVista](#) [Privacy Policy](#) [Help](#)

© 2005 Overture Services, Inc.

mobileHTML/XML/CSS/HTTP

for Mobile Web Access

Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd

- Functional Requirements for mobile Web access

(Shin'ichi Matsui)

- Mobile Web Access System Architecture

(Hidetaka Ohto)

09/21



Target of Proposed Mobile Web System (Mobile HTML/XML/CSS/HTTP)

o Terminals covered by Mobile Web System

- Smart Phones
- Cellular Phones
- Pagers
- PDA
- Portable PC
- Navigation Systems, ITS
- EC

Prospective Applications

- Next Generation Phones
 - Motion Pictures on Web
- ITS (Intelligent Transport Systems)
- EC (Electronic Commerce)



3/27

Requirements for Mobile Web Access

- Mobile Web Access must conform to W3C Standards
 - Web widely used in PC industry and penetrating into consumer industry rapidly based on W3C std.
 - Accessibility to PC Web based on HTML
 - Mobile terminals have to easily access to PC contents
 - Definition of HTML Subset because of mobile terminals' limitation
- Extension for Audio / Visual Communications
 - powerful at real-time/streaming communications
 - media rich presentation using motion pictures, image and text
- Efficient data transmissions
 - narrow bandwidth
 - efficient formats and protocols (HTML/XML/CSS/HTTP)

Mobile Web Access Proposal

Requirement	Std. Item	Proposal
Accessibility	Style Sheets	mobileCSS
AV handling	Application Formats	mobileHTML/XML
Efficient Transmission	Application Protocols	mobileHTTP

Proposal of mobileCSS

- o Introduce Style Sheets to realize Accessibility With
PC Web

- sharing PC contents in the mobile environment
- effective use of existing Web properties
(contents, authoring tools, servers)

- o Separate presentations from structures
 - basic policy of HTML 4.0
 - enables simple, extensible architecture

Proposal of mobileHTML/mobileXML

- o Basic Policy is to conform to Web formats (HTML/XML)
- 1st Step: Compatible with HTML 4.0 since HTML widely used
- Next Generation : Based on XML follow on from mobileHTML
- o AV control mechanisms integrated into HTML ex. using OBJECT/PARAM/FORM elements

Proposal of mobileHTTP

- Efficient data transmissions
 - Separating contents into templates/messages
 - using MPEG4 object encoding
- Efficient broadcasting
 - Push protocol using broadcasting function

9/27

Mobile Web Access System Architecture

Hidetaka Ohno


Matsushita Electric Industrial Co.,Ltd.

Position

- o Develop mobile visual phone(including image and text)
 - Web access
 - AV server access
 - TV phone/video conference
- o Propose formats and protocols for total web service
 - mobileHTML/XML
 - mobileCSS
 - mobileHTTP
 - (Scripting)

10/30

Mobile Web Access System Architecture



Formats and protocols for mobile visual service

- o mobileHTML
 - HTML compatible subset and extension for AV control
- o mobileXHTML
 - Integration of AV control mechanism follow on from mobileHTML
- o mobileCSS
 - Style sheet definition for mobile terminal specific presentation
- o mobileHTTP
 - Efficient transmission of streaming/broadcasting data

mobileHTML/XML(1/6)

*Document
Types Definitions*

— HTML compatible subset based on HTML 4.0 strict DTD

- Excludes presentation dependent elements, and event attributes for mouse/keyboard

- <tt>, <i>, , onclick, ondblclick.....

— AV control extension integrated in HTML/CSS

- <object>, <param> elements
- <style> element, id/class attributes

◦ mobileXML

- mobileXML
 - integration of AV control mechanism follow on from mobileHTML
 - simplicity and extensibility

mobileHTML/XML(2/6)

- AV control extension was introduced using “streaming”, <object>
 - “streaming” <object> describes AV control sequence based on RTSP
- text/image/video layering
 - defined by CSS2 positioning properties
- AV object layering based on MPEG4
 - also defined by CSS2 positioning properties
 - unit of audio/visual content (ex. the picture of a talking person without the background), scenes are composed of several AV objects.

mobileHTML/XML(3/6)

mobileHTML AV control extension

1. <object> represents streaming video object
2. <param> represents AV control sequence based on RTSP
3. <form> and <object> are combined by id attribute

Example:

```
<OBJECT class="video" classid="local:rtsp.app" data="rtsp://server/movie1">
<PARAM name="init" value="DESCRIBE;SETUP;PLAY range:npt=0-120">
<PARAM name="PICTURESEARCH" value="PAUSE;PLAY scale:2">
</OBJECT>
```

```
<FORM id="PICTURESEARCH" action="http://next.html" method="POST">
<INPUT TYPE="SUBMIT" VALUE="picturesearch">
</FORM>
```

mobileHTML/XML (4/6)

□ text/image/video layering


Must support, because mobile terminal has a small screen,
and can't use multi windows or frames.

Example:

```
<STYLE type="text/mobileCSS">
  video { z-index: 0 }
  .text1 { z-index: 1; color:red}
  .text2 { z-index: 2; height:192; color:blue}
</STYLE>
```

Layering definition is described
by mobileCSS.
z-index is derived from CSS2

```
...
<OBJECT class="video" classid="local:rtp.app" .....>
<P class="text1"> Central Cleaner NOW ON SALE
<P class="text2"> See Spec
```




16/07

mobileHTML/XML (5/6)

AV object layering based on MPEG4

Example:

```
<STYLE text="text/mobilecss">
  background { z-index:0 }
    man
    {
      z-index: 1
    }
    .woman
    {
      z-index: 2
    }
</STYLE>
<BODY>
<OBJECT class=background classid="rtsp://server/background">.....
<OBJECT class=man classid="rtsp://server/man">.....
<OBJECT class=woman classid="rtsp://server/woman">.....
</BODY>
```



mobileHTML/XMIL(6/6)

□ mobileXMIL

1. Most elements are inherited from mobileHTML except tag omission rules
2. <layout> is inherited from SMIL
3. <control> represents A V control sequence independent of streaming object
4. <input> indicates streaming object and its control

Example:

```
<layout><channel id="video" z-index="0"/>
<channel id="text1" z-index="1"/>
<channel id="text2" height="192" z-index="2"/>
</layout>
```

```
<control id="picturesearch">
  <pause /> <play scale=2 />
</control>
```

<layout> is
functionally
equal to CSS2
positioning
properties

<control> is useful
to change the
combination
of control and
streaming object

```
<video id="movie1" src="rtsp://server/movie1" channel="video" />
<p channel="text1"> central cleaner now on sale </p>
<form channel="text2">
  <input type="button" control="picturesearch" target="movie1" />
</form>
```

mobileCSS(1/4)

- Selective execution of mobile terminal specific presentation

-HTML4.0 “media” attribute and CSS2 “@media” type

- qualifier extension for more detailed classification

“media. category. device”

Example:

```
<STYLE media="handheld" type="text/mobilecss">
@media handheld.phone.ED-PD370S
{ @import url(http://style.com/basic1); }
</STYLE>
```

- Style sheet definition for mobile terminal specific presentation

-Template/message framework

-Summarization


- other features (ex. layering, screen size, colors) are inherited from CSS2

mobileCSS(2/4)

□ Template/Message Framework

- Reducing transmission data is necessary, because of sending data via narrow band.
- Dividing HTML content into template part and message part.
 - Template : layout framework
 - Message : updating information

For the 1st transmission, template and message are sent together, after 1st transmission, only updating message is sent



mobileCSS(3/4)

Template = HTML content (ex. stock information)


Message = HTML content with mobileCSS(ex. an updated stock price)

```
#pprice { synthesize-style: overwrite; }
```

Template and message
are synthesized

Message

Brand	Price
XXco.ltd	723
Panasonic	2500
YYcorp	2144
ZZenterprise	555
.....	



```
<span id="pprice">2500</span>  
<span id="xprice">723</span>  
<span id="yprice">2144</span>  
<span id="zprice">555</span>
```


Q1/27

mobileCSS(4/4)

■ Summarization

H1 { aggregate:top }

```
....<H1>summary1</H1>.  
.....  
<H1>summary2</H1>.....  
....  
....<H1>summary3</H1>....
```



mobileHTTP(1/3)

- Efficient streaming transmission
 - RTSP extension for layering AV object transmission control
 - based on MPEG4
- Efficient broadcasting
 - HTTP extension for push delivery and broadcasting
 - based on template/message framework

mobileHTTP(2/3)

- superimposing streaming transmission control based on MPEG4

C->S DESCRIBE rtsp://foo/twister/mobileHTTP/1.0

Cseq: 1

mobileHTTP/1.0 200 OK

Cseq: 1

Content-type: application/sdp

m=video 0 H324/MPEG4 H263

a=control:rtsp://foo/twister/background
m=video 0 H324/MPEG4 H263
a=control:rtsp://foo/twister/woman

m=video 0 H324/MPEG4 H263

a=control:rtsp://foo/twister/man

.....

HIDE rtsp://foo/twister/man

.....

SHOW rtsp://foo/twister/man


.....

C->S

C->S

HIDE and SHOW are new extension methods for superimposing streaming transmission control

background



Saving bandwidth of disappearing AV objects

24/27

mobileHTTP(3/3)


- ❑ HTTP extension for efficient broadcasting

- o mobile web server requests mobile gateway to broadcast
 - o based on template/message dividing format

PUSH method : mobile gateway by the host part of URI

Destination-ID: one of the "whole/group/specify client(s)"

```
PUSH http://mobilegw mobileHTTP/1.0  
Destination-ID: client1, client2..
```



25/27

Summary

Standardization items for mobile web access

- o mobileHTML/XML
 - HTML compatible subset based on HTML 4.0 strict DTD
 - "streaming" <object> control extension
 - AV object layering
 - XML version
 - o mobileCSS
 - qualifier extension of @media type
 - template/message framework
- summarization
- o mobileHTTP
 - superimposing control based on MPEG4
 - broadcast control

26/27

76. Pages 1--35 from Techniques for Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 1.0

Techniques for Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 1.0. W3C Working Draft 11 February 2000

This version <http://www.w3.org/WAI/GL/WD-WCAG10-TECHS-20000211>...

✉ <http://www.w3.org/WAI/GL/WD-WCAG10-TECHS-20000211/wcag10-tech.pdf> - Last Modified: 11-Feb-2000 -

Size: 90K

77. XHTML Basic

XHTML.™ Basic. W3C. Working Draft 10 February 2000. This version:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/WD-xhtml-basic-20000210> (Plain text version, PostScript.

✉ <http://www.w3.org/TR/2000/WD-xhtml-basic-20000210/> - Last Modified: 10-Feb-2000 - Size: 43K

78. XHTML Basic

XHTML.™ Basic. W3C. Working Draft 21 December 1999. This version:

<http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/WD-xhtml-basic-19991221> (ZIP archive) Latest version:...

✉ <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/WD-xhtml-basic-19991221/> - Last Modified: 21-Dec-1999 - Size: 34K

79. Connolly's WWW Research Notebook

WWW Research Notebook. @@intro blurb: take the MS Office concept global. @@"I want my data back." i.e. I don't want access to my data to require a...

✉ <http://www.w3.org/People/Connolly/drafts/web-research> - Last Modified: 24-Oct-1999 - Size: 68K

80. mobileHTMLArch.ppt

mobileHTML/XML/CSS/HTTP for Mobile Web Access Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd
Functional Requirements for mobile Web access (Shin'ichi Matsui) -

✉ <http://www.w3.org/Mobile/1998/Workshop/Slide/MEI/mobileHTMLArch.ppt> - Last Modified: 09-Jul-1998 - Size: 11K

[Prev] [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) [4](#) [5](#) [6](#) [7](#) [8](#) [9](#) [10](#) [11](#) [12](#) [13](#) [14](#) [15](#) [16](#) [17](#) [18](#) [19](#) [20](#) [Next]

[Webmaster](#) · [Text-Only](#)

Copyright © 1999-2000 W3C® (MIT, INRIA, Keio), All Rights Reserved. W3C liability, trademark,
document use and software licensing rules apply.



27/27

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.